Best Available Copy

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§ §

§

Applicant:

Morteza Naghavi

Serial No:

10/645,970

Filed:

08/22/2003

For:

Novel Risk Assessment Method

Based Upon Coronary Calcification
Distribution Pattern Imaged By

Computed Tomography

Group .

Group Art Unit:

3768

Examiner:

Jennifer A Horwat

Attorney Docket:

D8562-14

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.131

We, S. Ward Casscells and James T. Willerson, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. We are named inventors of this patent application.
- 2. We understand that claims 1, 3-12, 14, 17, 19-26, and 31-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Iatrou, United States Patent Publication 2004/0136491.
- 3. This Declaration is being submitted to swear behind latrou, to disqualify it as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)/103 with respect to the claims identified in paragraph 2 above.
- 4. The earliest priority date for Iatrou et al. is July 23, 2002.
- 5. Before July 23, 2002, we conceived the methods and systems set forth in claims 1, 3-12, 14, 17, 19-26, and 31-34 of this application.
- 6. Prior to July 23, 2002, we conceived the method of assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification claimed in claim 1 and its dependent claims.
- 7. Prior to July 23, 2002, we conceived the method of assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification claimed in claim 17 and its dependent claims.
- 8. Prior to July 23, 2002, we conceived the system for assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification claimed in claim 31 and its dependent claims.

- 9. Attached as Exhibit A is an invention disclosure document that was provided to our patent attorneys for the purpose of drafting the present patent application. The disclosure describes a system for assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification, comprising a scanner adapted to detect a characteristic of a region of interest in a patient; a data store operatively coupled to the scanner and adapted to receive and store data generated by the scanner; and a data analyzer operatively coupled to the data store, wherein the data analyzer further comprises a scoring module adapted to determine distribution of the scanned characteristic of the region of interest in the patient.
- 10. Exhibit A further describes a method of assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification, comprising scanning a region of interest in a patient using computed tomography (CT); storing CT generated data resulting from said scanning, the data comprising calcification data related to calcification of a blood vessel; generating scoring data representative of a statistical distribution of calcification in the blood vessel using the calcification data; and assessing the patient's risk of cardiovascular disease using the scoring data.
- 11. Exhibit A further describes A method of assessing coronary risk based upon coronary calcification, comprising: scanning a region of interest in a patient using computed tomography (CT); storing CT generated data resulting from said scanning, the data comprising calcification data; analyzing the data to determine a distribution of calcification in the patient; and assessing the patient's risk of cardiovascular disease based upon said analyzing.
- 12. From prior to July 23, 2002 until our filing date of August 22, 2003, we and our attorneys worked diligently to complete the filing of a patent application covering this invention.

- 2 Prior to August 20, 2003, we received a draft of the Datent Application. Which itemporated (are invention of claims 1, 240), (4), (4), (5) as 26, and 21, 24, including the subject inquer we had disclosed in Exhibits.
- 14. (On August 22, 2003) our pateit, stropers, liter the application in the Patent and Tradepart Office.

Charles declare that all adjustments made better of the event of the selding are the and that all statements made on information and selder excited selder each or that, and statements that these statements were made with the knowledge that within false americans and the like so made are punishable by the or imprisonment, or pool, linker Title 18. United States Cosc. Section 2001, and that such willful false statements may proparated the saiding of the above permitted application of any patent assumptions.

Respectfully submitted.

DATE / & NET / 2/CS/2 Causelle, S. Wife

DM2145463411



INVENTION DISCLOSURE FORM

ig mys integrated allein at the confirment on company to give response according to the state of the desire of the		File #:		
1. INVENTOR(S) INFORMATION				
Name/Degrees	Title	School	Department	
Ward Casscells, MD	Professor of medicine	Medical School	Int. Med./Cardiology	
James T. Willerson, MD	Professor of medicine	Medical School	Int. Med./Cardiology	
Morteza Naghavi, MD	Assistant Professor	Medical School	Int. Med./Cardiology	
Alireza Zarrabi, MD	Research Associate	Medical School	Int. Med./Cardiology	
	ventor, is there in exist	ence a royalty shari	ng agreement? <u>No</u> Calcification	
(b) Invention Ty DeviceD	vpe: rug <u>X</u> Method <u>C</u>	Composition X	SoftwareOther	

(c) Summarize the purpose and use of the invention

In this method instead of using calcium score for risk assessment in patients, we are suggesting to use of statistical data that can be took out from the primary score to predict clinical outcome.

The current "calcium score" is an estimate of total amount of calcium accumulated in coronary arteries. Calcium scoring determines if the arteries have too much calcium (part of atherosclerotic plaque that restricts the amount of blood) but can not clearly shows plaque burden and plaque progression process.

In this method we show different distribution of coronary calcification detected by CAT scan including proximal versus distal artery calcification, distribution of calcification in different coronary branches, concentric calcification versus eccentric calcification, abrupt changes in calcification density, and size of the plaque inn calcified area. For all of these data we calculate different variables (mean, median, mode, standard deviation (SD), range, coefficient of variation, skewness, kurtosis, and other statistical parametes).

Abrupt changes in regional coronary elasticity, like abrupt changes in density, should be high-risk regions.

In this method we also measure the density of the calcification in specific area and show the relation of different densities in each place to the outcome of lesion.

By collecting all of these data and multivariate analysis this method can map the coronary arteries in to multiple sections which each part has its specificity related to calcification.

(d) Novelty and non-obviousness of the invention

The traditional coronary calcium scoring system does not include any information regarding the distribution pattern of calcified areas and their anatomical position in relation to coronary tree.

This method lacks high specificity for prediction of outcome and there has not been widely accepted.

However, our proposed method for the first time describes the risk of coronary events and progression of atherosclerotic plaque (changing of plaque burden) through calcification distribution which is detected by CAT scan.

(e) Similar inventions currently in use

Calcium scoring estimate subject's cardiovascular risk and evaluate progressive accumulation of calcium as a marker for arterial blockages.

EBT Calcium Score Range (% diameter stenosis)	Angiographic Disease Severity
27-88	>20%
89-127	>30%
128-166	>40%
167-370	>50%
>371	>70%

The above traditional scoring system does not include any information regarding the site of calcification

The current method suffers from poor specificity to predict coronary outcome.

(f) Advantages of invention over current practice

In current scoring system, some people who have very high scores would be free of clinical heart disease, while others may have low scores and have suffered a major heart attack. The calcium score is a more direct estimate of the amount of plaque present in the arteries than are the risk factors.

The present method is able to show the progression of plaque and assess the risk of coronary events according to multiple variables mined from plaque calcification.

(g) A list of potential claims of the invention

Relation of distribution of calcification in proximal part of coronary arteries with risk of coronary events vs. distal distribution.

Relation of eccentric plaque calcification with risk of coronary events vs. concentric calcification.

(h) State of development of the invention

In human

6. CONCEPTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE INVENTION

- (a) Date invention was conceived: May 2002
- (b) Date the first drawing or sketch was made: May 2002
- (c) Date first construction or model was made: May 2002

ATTACH SUPPORTING MATERIALS (DATA, DRAWINGS, PHOTOS, FORMULAS, ETC.)

 (d) Approximate time spent by you, personally, in making the invention? (e) Was any of this work performed at UTHSCH? XYes No If no, is it your intent to assign this invention to UTHSCH? Yes No (f) Were any of the following used in the development of the invention: UTHSCH Facilities or Technical Support: (Laboratories, offices, personnel,
etc.)YesNo
UTHSCH Equipment: (tools, machinery, etc.)YesNo
UTHSCH Materials: (Supplies, etc.; if scrap waste, or salvage materials were
used, give estimated value of materials.)
YesNo Estimated dollar value: \$
(g) Estimated amount of UTHSCH funds, other than salaries and wages, which
were actually obligated or expended for the purpose of making the invention: \$
(h) Contribution of time or services of other UTHSCH employees (state
approximate number of hours and type of assistance):
Yes No

7. DISCLOSURE:

- (a) Has the invention been, or will it be disclosed or described in any manner (publication, abstracts, World Wide Web, oral presentation, etc.)? _Yes _X No; If yes, answer (b), (c) and (d), below
 (b) Date invention was first disclosed to others:
- (c) To whom was invention disclosed:
- (d) Date of the first written disclosure:

(e) Has the invention been tested?	YesNo; If yes:experimentally
routinely	
If yes, give date and results test:	Date:

If yes, give date and results test: Date: Details:

8. PRIOR ART: Please provide a list and copies of all known related publications and patents. Attached.

The following are World Wide Web sites that may be used for patent searching. You are encouraged to conduct a preliminary search and submit your findings along with the disclosure.

http://autm.net/	Assoc. of University Technologies Managers
http://www.uspto.gov/	US PTO
http://www.delphion.com/	Delphion
http://sunsite.unc.edu/patents/intropat.html	STO's Internet Patent Search System
http://www.micropat.com/	MicroPatent
http://www.qpat.com/	Qpat-US

9. COMMERCIALIZATION:

Please address the potential commercialization of the invention as follows:

- (a) Commercial possibilities/value of the invention/
- (b) A list of potential licensees or research sponsors
- (c) Attach an abstract of the invention

Dr. James T. Willerson

Signature Date 7/8/07

Complete Mailing Address (required)	
НОМЕ	WORK
3314 Georgetown	7000 Fannin UCT 1707
Houston, Texas 77030	Houston, Texas 77030
Phone	Phone 713.500-3000

Dr. S. Ward Casscells

	The second secon
Cianatura	Date
Signature	Date

Complete Mailing Address (required)		
HOME	WORK	
3402 Wickersham Lane,	6431 Fannin MSB 1.254	
Houston Tx 77027	Houston, TX 77030	
Phone	Phone 713-500-6545	

Dr. Morteza Naghavi

		 •		
Signature	1	-	Date 5/- 107	
CERTIFICATION CONTRACTOR CONTRACT				tindeed Singer as

Complete Mailing Address (required)		
HOME WORK		
3525 Sage #1405	6431 Fannin, MSB-1.246	
Houston, TX 77056	Houston, TX 77030	
Phone	Phone 832-355-9138	

Dr. Alireza Zarrabi

Signature ()	Date $7-8-02$
	1 0 00
	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O

номе	WORK
Alireza Zarrabi	Alireza Zarrabi, MD
10225 Wortham Blvd. Apt.# 17206 Houston, TX 77065	6770 Bertner MB 3-277 Houston, TX 77030
Phone:	Phone: 832-355-9136

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are	e not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTO	M OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT O	R DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PI	HOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL I	OOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SU	BMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
O other:	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.